Galois Theory

May 18, 2016

Casus irreducibilis

Author: David Yao

**Theorem 0.1 (casus irreducibilis)** If  $p(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  is an irreducible cubic polynomial with three real roots, then it is impossible to obtain any of the roots with only real radicals.

**Lemma 0.2** Suppose F is a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let a be an element of F. Let p be prime and let  $\alpha = \sqrt[p]{a}$  be the pth real root of a. Then  $[F(\alpha) : F] = 1$  or p.

**Proof of Lemma 0.2** Let  $m_{\alpha}$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over F, and suppose its degree is  $d \leq p$ . Since  $m_{\alpha}$  divides  $x^p - a$ , all its roots are *p*th roots of a, in the form of  $\alpha \zeta_p^j$  for some integer j, where  $\zeta_p$  is the *p*th root of unity.

The constant term of  $m_{\alpha}$  lies in F and is the product of all its roots, so it is  $\alpha^d \zeta_p^k$  for some integer k, as products of pth roots of unity is still a pth root of unity. Therefore  $\alpha^d \zeta_p^k$  is real. Since  $\alpha^d$  is real,  $\zeta_p^k$  is real, so  $\zeta_p^k = \pm 1$ .

Therefore  $\alpha^d \in F$ .  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t. ad + bp = (d, p) by Euclid's Algorithm. So  $\alpha^{(d,p)} = (\alpha^d)^a (\alpha^p)^b \in F$ . (d,p) = 1 or p. If (d,p) = p, since  $d \leq p$ , it follows that d = p and  $[F(\alpha) : F] = d = p$ . If (d,p) = 1, then  $\alpha \in F$  and  $[F(\alpha) : F] = 1$ .

**Proof** of casus irreducibilis: Let p(x) be an irreducible polynomial in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  with three real roots a, b, c. Consider the discriminant D of p(x).

$$D = (a - b)^{2}(a - c)^{2}(b - c)^{2}$$

Since we are in  $\mathbb{C}$ , p(x) is separable and a, b, c are all distinct. Since they are all real, D > 0, and it has a real square root  $\sqrt{D} \in \mathbb{R}$ . p(x) is still irreducible in  $Q(\sqrt{D})$  because a quadratic extension cannot contain any root of p, an irreducible cubic whose roots have degree 3 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Now, since D is a perfect square in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ , the Galois group of p(x) over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  is inside  $A_3$ , so the splitting field of p(x) over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  is at most degree 3. In other words, adjoining any root to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  will give all three roots.

By way of contradiction, suppose one of the roots is expressable in real radicals, then it lives inside a real radical extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and consequently lives inside a real radical extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ . By the previous discussion, all three roots are in that real radical extension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ . We hence have the tower

$$\mathbb{Q} = K_0 \subset K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \subset K_2 \subset \cdots \subset K_s$$

where each  $K_i \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $K_{i+1} = K_i(\sqrt[n_i]{\alpha_i})$  for some  $\alpha_i \in K_i$ , and  $a, b, c \in K_s$ .

Notice that  $s \ge 2$  because p(x) is irreducible over  $K_1$ , per previous discussion.

Notice also that for a simple radical extension  $F(\sqrt[m_n]{\alpha})/F$ , it can be further broken down into two simple radical extensions  $F(\sqrt[m_n]{\alpha})/F(\sqrt[m_n]{\alpha})/F$ . Therefore WLOG, we can assume that  $K_{i+1} = K_i(\sqrt[m_n]{\alpha_i})$  for some prime  $p_i$ . By Lemma 0.2 we know that  $[K_{i+1} : K_i] = p_i$ .

WLOG, suppose that s is chosen so that  $K_s$  is the first field in the tower to split p(x), then by previous discussion,  $K_{s-1}$  does not contain any of the roots a, b, c.

Since  $K_{s-1}$  contains no root of p(x), p(x) is irreducible over  $K_{s-1}$ . Since p(x) splits in  $K_s$ ,  $[K_s : K_{s-1}]$  is a multiple of 3. However, this is a prime degree extension by assumption so  $[K_s : K_{s-1}] = 3 = p_{s-1}$ , i.e.  $K_s = K_{s-1}(a, b, c)$  is the splitting field of p(x) over  $K_{s-1}$ , hence it is a Galois extension. By construction,  $K_s = K_{s-1}(\sqrt[3]{\alpha_{s-1}})$ , and  $x^3 - \alpha_{s-1}$  is irreducible over  $K_{s-1}$ . As a Galois extension,  $K_s$  contains a real third root of  $\alpha_{s-1}$ , call it  $\beta$ . It must contain the other two third roots as well, namely  $\beta\zeta_3$  and  $\beta\zeta_3^2$ . So  $\zeta_3 \in K_s$ , which contradicts  $K_s \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

## References

[1] David S. Dummit and Richard M. Foote, Abstract algebra, Third, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2004.